

Company	Confidential I/ Anonymous	1. How many customers exist in your areas which fall into scenario A, i.e. designated EHV properties on LDNO networks where the designated EHV property in question is not the only customer (other customers can be designated EHV or otherwise) on that LDNO network?	Working Group Comments
DNO 1	Anonymous	We have two customers that fall under this scenario.	Noted
DNO 2	Anonymous	Two customers on a single LDNO network.	Noted
DNO 3	Anonymous	0	Noted
DNO 4	Anonymous	Based upon the limited information that is available to us as a DNO we do not believe we have any such customers.	Noted
DNO 5	Anonymous	0	Noted

Company	Confidential / Anonymous	2. If the answer to question 1 is non-zero, do you determine the Point of Common Coupling for these customers based on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the DNO/LDNO boundary (which the DCP 305 Proposer believes is compliant with DCUSA); or the Point of Common Coupling which would apply if the LDNO network in question were an end user (which the DCP 305 Proposer believes is the logical approach). 	Working group Comments
DNO 1	Anonymous	For EHV IDNO customers connecting to our network as per scenario A our approach is to determine the category code by reference to paragraph 24.8 of schedule 18 of DCUSA, under which the category	Noted

		code is based on the network level of the boundary between the host DNO party and the IDNO party.	
DNO 2	Anonymous	We have allocated the Point of Common Coupling which would apply if the LDNO network were considered as an end user. Although this is not in line with the DCUSA we are acting under a derogation from Ofgem to not charge in line with our published charging methodology in this respect.	Noted
DNO 3	Anonymous	N/A	Noted
DNO 4	Anonymous	N/A	Noted
DNO 5	Anonymous	N/A	Noted

Company	Confidential / Anonymous	3. If the answer to question 1 is non-zero and you were to determine the Point of Common Coupling according to the other option detailed in question 2, would any of these instances result in a different Point of Common Coupling being applied?	Working Group Comments
DNO 1	Anonymous	For the particular instances of the two customers currently connected to our network the different approaches to determining the point of common coupling detailed in Q2 would result in different category codes in both cases.	Noted
DNO 2	Anonymous	Yes. If we had allocated the Point of Common Coupling based on the DNO/LDNO boundary the customers would have been allocated a different category, and charged significantly more as a result.	Noted
DNO 3	Anonymous	N/A	Noted

DNO 4	Anonymous	N/A	Noted
DNO 5	Anonymous	N/A	Noted

Company	Confidential / Anonymous	4. Are you aware of any customers which are expected to connect in the next 18 months which will fall under scenario A?	Working Group Comments
DNO 1	Anonymous	No.	Noted
DNO 2	Anonymous	No.	Noted
DNO 3	Anonymous	No	Noted
DNO 4	Anonymous	Based upon the limited information that is available to us as a DNO we are not aware of any such customers connecting in the next 18 months.	Noted
DNO 5	Anonymous		Noted

Company	Confidential / Anonymous	5. How many customers exist in your areas which fall into scenario B, i.e. designated HV or LV properties on LDNO networks where there is only a single customer connected on that LDNO network?	Working Group Comments
DNO 1	Anonymous	We are not aware of any customers in our area that fall under this scenario.	Noted
DNO 2	Anonymous	None.	Noted
DNO 3	Anonymous	1	Noted

DNO 4	Anonymous	Based upon the limited information that is available to us as a DNO we do not believe we have any such customers.	Noted
DNO 5	Anonymous	0	Noted

Company	Confidential / Anonymous	6. If the answer to question 5 is non-zero, do you determine which tariff to apply for these customers based on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the Point of Common Coupling of the single end customer (which the DCP 305 Proposer believes is compliant with DCUSA); or the DNO/LDNO boundary (which the DCP 305 Proposer believes is the logical approach). 	Working Group Comments
DNO 1	Anonymous	Not applicable.	Noted
DNO 2	Anonymous	n/a	Noted
DNO 3	Anonymous	The Point of Common Coupling of the single end customer.	Noted
DNO 4	Anonymous	N/A	Noted
DNO 5	Anonymous	N/A	Noted

Company	Confidential / Anonymous	7. If the answer to question 5 is non-zero and you were to determine which tariff to apply for these customers according to the other option detailed in question 6, would any of these instances result in a different tariff being applied?	Working Group Comments
----------------	---------------------------------	--	-------------------------------

DNO 1	Anonymous	Not applicable.	Noted
DNO 2	Anonymous	n/a	Noted
DNO 3	Anonymous	No	Noted
DNO 4	Anonymous	N/A	Noted
DNO 5	Anonymous	N/A	Noted

Company	Confidential / Anonymous	8. Are you aware of any customers which are expected to connect in the next 18 months which will fall under scenario B?	Working Group Comments
DNO 1	Anonymous	No.	Noted
DNO 2	Anonymous	No.	Noted
DNO 3	Anonymous	No	Noted
DNO 4	Anonymous	Based upon the limited information that is available to us as a DNO we are not aware of any such customers connecting in the next 18 months.	Noted
DNO 5	Anonymous		Noted